Response to Office Action Application No. 09/427,811 Atty. Docket No. 22022.0007 Page 9 of 25

## In The Claims

Please amend the indicated claims as follows:

11. (Amended) The system of claim 10, wherein the host computer processor performs the further step of harvesting the information for each end user in the determined set of end user from the selected information provider at the harvesting time assigned to each end user.

14. (Amended) The storage device of claim 13, and storing further instructions that upon execution cause the processor to perform the step of harvesting the information for each end user in the determined set of end user from the selected information provider at the harvesting time assigned to each end user.

## Remarks

The specification has been amended to correct minor typographic errors. A marked-up copy of affected paragraphs of the specification indicating the amendments is attached hereto as Appendix A. Applicants assert that no new matter has been added by virtue of these amendments.

Claims 1-15 remain pending in the application. Claims 11 and 14 have been amended to more clearly claim the invention. A marked-up copy of affected claims indicating the amendments is attached hereto as Appendix B. Applicants respectfully request further examination of the application in light of the remarks below.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 4-15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Takagi et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,243,755, hereinafter *Takagi*) in view of *Steinberger et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,705, hereinafter *Steinberger*). Office Action at ¶2. The Examiner has rejected remaining claims 2 and 3 under under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Takagi* in view of *Steinberger* in further view of